

VIA AIR POUCH  
(Specify Air or Sea Pouch)

DISPATCH NO. OSSA-5551

CLASSIFICATION

TO Chief, WE

DATE 11 January 1957

FROM Chief of Station, C 3/11

INFO: Chief, SR

SUBJECT { GENERAL REDWOOD/TILBURY/TIGERCAT  
SPECIFIC TILBURY/1 Positive Information

REFERENCE: A. OSSA-5456, 18 December 1956  
B. OSSA-5374, 30 November 1956

ACTION REQUIRED: For Information and action per paragraph 4

COPY ROUTING	
#1	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
#2	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
#3	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
#4	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
#5	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

1. At a meeting with C 3 on 4 January, C 3 brought along a selection of recent reports prepared by the TPFEELING local office on subjects he felt might be of interest. Included was the attached report titled: Lativa, Review of General Situation. This report is a typed duplicate of the TILBURY/1 debriefings, obtained from TILBURY, and forwarded headquarters under references.

2. C 3 is quite adamant that he won't disclose sources. In this case C 3 attempted to elicit a source description by saying that this report looked as if it might have come from the man who had been sent back to the USSR this fall. C 3 had Mikkelsen in mind since this information had been in the newspapers, and C 3 and C 3 had previously discussed the "Case of the 14 Latvians on Gotland".) C 3 originally seemed to agree half-heartedly to this source description, until C 3 mentioned the name Mikkelsen, at which point C 3 said he was not the source; that he had thought C 3 was referring to someone from the Latvian colony in Stockholm.

3. From the above account, we assume that C 3 misinterpreted C 3 reference to "the man who was sent back" and thought the reference was meant to be TILBURY/1. Conjecture on how this report reached TPFEELING leads to two suppositions: either TILBURY gave or sold it directly to C 3 or he gave it to, say, his brother, who passed it on to TPFEELING. To have this report reach the station via three separate channels, all leading back to the same source, TILBURY, raises various questions, and confirms other suppositions. Unfortunately, we don't know precisely how the report reached TPFEELING. Given TILBURY's known channels to two services, KUBARK and TIEBARS, we can assume he would not hesitate to add the head of the Latvian emigration in Sweden as a third customer, even though the report could only have come

from a recent

CLASSIFICATION

FORM NO. 51-28A  
MAR. 1949

DECLASSIFIED AND RELEASED BY  
CENTRAL INTELLIGENCE AGENCY  
SOURCE METHOD EXEMPTION 3B26  
NAZI WAR CRIMES DISCLOSURE ACT  
DATE 2005

SECRET

OSSA-5551  
11 January 1957  
page two

from a recent arrival from Latvia, and theoretically TILBURY is aware of the security problem of giving such a report to the emigration. Further and more detailed comments on this aspect of the problem will be forwarded after TILBURY, C meeting.

4. C proposes to sound out TILBURY on just who did receive the TILBURY/1 reports. C in his turn, will raise the subject of source with C at his next meeting. C requests an early headquarters reply to the request contained in paragraph 3 of OSSA-5456 of 18 December, i.e. whether or not a worthwhile check can be made of the TILBURY copies of the TILBURY/1 reports to determine that more than one copy has been made.

C C

Attachment  
Report - u.s.c.

11 January 1957

Distribution:

- 3 - Headquarters, w/1 attachment u.s.c.
- 2 - C. SR, w/o attachment
- 2 - C C w/o attachment

SECRET